

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/580,194	KUHMANN ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
WALTER B. AUGHENBAUGH	1782	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

- (1) WALTER B. AUGHENBAUGH. (3) ____.
 (2) Jacob A. Doughty. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 13 December 2010

Time: ~3:00PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed Examiner's Amendment as detailed in the attached Notice of Allowance. Jacob A. Doughty authorized the Examiner's Amendment

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Walter B Aughenbaugh /
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1782

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)